DATE:  
October 15, 2019

APPLICANT:  
90 Danbury Road  
Posa

MEETING LOCATION:  
Town Hall Annex  
Back Lower Level Conference Room

AAC MEMBERS:  
(Place check next to those who attended)

☐ S. Benton  ☐ J. Clements  ☒ J. Goldfluss  ☒ J. Heyman
☒ J. Kinnear  ☒ L. Lavelle  ☐ G. Lounsbury

ALSO PRESENT:  
Mr. McNamara

APPLICATION:  
☐ Special Permit – New Building  ☐ Site Plan Approval
☐ Special Permit – Addition  ☒ Sign
☐ Exterior Renovation  ☐ Other: __________

ACTION:  
☐ AAC recommends design approval as noted
☒ AAC does not recommend design approval
☒ Additional design studies/information requested
☐ Other: _________________________

COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The applicant proposed remounting the sign on the sign fascia. This would be acceptable.

The applicant will resubmit with the proposed glass enclosure.

Vote
For approval of recommendations:  4  
Against approval of recommendations:  0

BY: __________ John Kinnear __________
ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE: October 15, 2019

APPLICANT: 90 Danbury Road

MEETING LOCATION: Town Hall Annex
Back Lower Level Conference Room

AAC MEMBERS:
(Place check next to those who attended)
☐ S. Benton ☐ J. Clements ☒ J. Goldfluss ☒ J. Heyman
☒ J. Kinnear ☒ L. Lavelle ☐ G. Lounsbury

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Doyle

APPLICATION:
☐ Special Permit – New Building ☐ Site Plan Approval
☒ Special Permit – Addition ☐ Signs
☒ Exterior Renovation ☐ Other: _________________________

ACTION:
☐ AAC recommends design approval as noted
☐ AAC does not recommend design approval
☐ Additional design studies/information requested
☐ Other: _________________________

COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The applicant would like to build a glass addition to create an air lock entrance. This could be a precedent-setting addition whereas other businesses may request the same consideration.

The applicant will return with plans coordinating the sign with the enclosure.

Vote
For approval of recommendations: 4 Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY: John Kinnear
The applicant proposes demolishing a house, building a stone wall and pergolas.

Review
1) The house is early, and we have notified the Ridgefield Historical Society to report on possible significance before a demolition permit is granted.
2) A stone wall is planned at the street, similar to the bank across the road. It was suggested that this wall can support a berm with landscaping to block the parking area from Farmingville Road.
3) The proposed pergolas are very contemporary, and the building is traditional in character. We suggest more compatible designs.

We look forward to the applicant’s next submittal.
The applicant plans to provide new signage on the building, and two freestanding signs.

AAC comments:
1) The applicant proposes two signs off the building, one on a post near the entrance drive and one on a proposed stone wall (also near the entrance drive).
   We told the applicant that only one freestanding sign per business is permitted (the business is on two lots, but is one business).
2) The sign on the post is not compatible with other freestanding signs in the area. The applicant said he would come back with one oval sign supported by two posts.
3) Signage proposed for the building is fine, and we recommend approval.

Vote
For approval of recommendations: 4   Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY:         John Kinnear          
ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE: October 15, 2019

APPLICANT: 33 Ethan Allen Highway

MEETING LOCATION: Town Hall Annex
Back Lower Level Conference Room

AAC MEMBERS:
(Place check next to those who attended)
☐ S. Benton  ☑ J. Clements  ☑ J. Goldfluss  ☑ J. Heyman
☑ J. Kinnear  ☑ L. Lavelle  ☐ G. Lounsbury

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Greg Pesaturo

APPLICATION: ☑ Special Permit – New Building  ☑ Site Plan Approval
☐ Special Permit – Addition  ☐ Sign
☐ Exterior Renovation  ☐ Other: __________________________

ACTION: ☐ AAC recommends design approval as noted
☐ AAC does not recommend design approval
☑ Additional design studies/information requested
☐ Other: __________________________________________

COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The applicant stated that this project will be done in stages: The first, the site work, paving, drainage, and landscaping; the second phase, the barn/storage building; third phase, improvements to the existing building.

The AAC recommends that the applicant return with a landscape plan showing fencing and planting to screen the parking and construction storage on the lot.

Building Review

1) The AAC recommends that the new building be the same color as the existing building.
2) Omit the shutters.
3) The door facing Route 7 should be a barn door.
4) The proposed fence south of the building should align with the southeast corner of the building.

We look forward to the next presentation by the applicant.

表决

For approval of recommendations: 4        Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY: John Kinnear
ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE: October 15, 2019

APPLICANT: 38 Peaceable Street

Pool and changing area

MEETING LOCATION: Town Hall Annex
Back Lower Level Conference Room

AAC MEMBERS:
(Place check next to those who attended)
☐ S. Benton  ☐ J. Clements  ☒ J. Goldfluss  ☒ J. Heyman
☒ J. Kinnear  ☒ L. Lavelle  ☐ G. Lounsbury

ALSO PRESENT: Mr. Ritter

APPLICATION:
☐ Special Permit – New Building  ☒ Site Plan Approval
☐ Special Permit – Addition  ☐ Signs
☐ Exterior Renovation  ☐ Other: _________________________

ACTION: ☒ AAC recommends design approval as noted
☐ AAC does not recommend design approval
☐ Additional design studies/information requested
☐ Other: ________________________________

COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The AAC recommends approval as submitted for the pool in the “front yard”.

Vote
For approval of recommendations: 4  Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY: John Kinnear